UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,406	02/06/2007	Bjarne Nordli	06117	3841	
	7590 10/19/200 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER			
1727 KING STI SUITE 105		BARTOSIK, ANTHONY N			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/19/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/598,406	NORDLI, BJARNE		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		ANTHONY N. BARTOSIK	3635	
The MA	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED	24 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
application, a application in	filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on pplicant must timely file one of the following condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The period no event, h	d for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this A for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire la Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time match have been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) set forth in (b) above,	OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(in the price of the policy of the price of the price of the price of the price of the second of the price of	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice	Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ce of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter eal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a)⊠ They ra (b)  They ra (c)  They ar appeal; (d)  They pr	d amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the dise new issues that would require further consise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belower not deemed to place the application in bether and/or resent additional claims without canceling a consistency (See 37 OFR 1446 and 44 33(a))	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
4. The amendm 5. Applicant's re	: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). nents are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 eply has overcome the following rejection(s): sed or amended claim(s) would be all e claim(s).	:		,
7. For purposes how the new of The status of Claim(s) allow Claim(s) objection (S) without the Claim(s) without the control of	of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) or amended claims would be rejected is provided the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: wed:  cted to:  cted:  drawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an ex	xplanation of
because appl	<u>HER EVIDENCE</u> or other evidence filed after a final action, bu icant failed to provide a showing of good and or presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit of entered because	or other evidence filed after the date of filing use the affidavit or other evidence failed to o od and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
	or other evidence is entered. An explanation ECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request	for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
13.  Other: The Econstruction elements specification, hower	ached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( Examiner reviewed Applicant's remarks cond nt. The amended language attempts to impl ver, they fall short. The claims as currently of	erning the interpretation of the tern ement the limitations of defining the drafted do not positively recite the li	e terms as set forth in imitation of the constru	the uction element,
therefore the coadir	nd niece and its relation can not then he defi	ned by the construction element. In	n order to define the or	ientation of the

cogging piece in regards to the constructional element the element must be positively claimed. .

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635

Anthony Bartosik Examiner Art Unit: 3635

Part of Paper No. 20091015

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief